Date: May 1, 2009

<u>In attendance:</u> Anne Cooper, Carol Weideman, David Liebert, Deborah Robinson, Earl Fratus, Janice Thiel, Jesse Coraggio, John Vaughan, Jonathan Steele, Joseph Smiley, Keith Goree, Leigh Goldberg Hopf, Marcia Glubiak, Marilyn Ryan, Martha Campbell, Maggie Tymms, Patricia Matchette, Richard Musgrave, Sharon Griggs, Sharon Setterlind, Susan Burnett, Therezita Ortiz, Tonjua Williams, Wendy Mitchell

Welcome and Introductions:

The meeting was called to order by Jesse Coraggio who welcomed everyone and asked for brief introductions to be made. Co-Chairs: Dr. Anne Cooper, Dr. Martha Campbell

Review of Minutes from previous meeting:

Minutes from the previous Educational Oversight Committee meeting held on November 21, 2008 were reviewed and approved.

Assessments Update:

A list of 2007-08 Gen Ed Assessments Follow-up Reports which are due by July 1st, and 2008-09 Gen Ed Assessments which are due by August 1st, was provided.

Course Development Subcommittee:

The Course Development subcommittee (CDS) is scheduled to meet in June, and a sub committee of the CDS led by Richard Musgrave is proposing that each faculty member review their course. Dr. Cooper made a recommendation to include ITs and faculty in these discussions. Jesse noted that course development and course review are a big component of the college-wide effort.

Critical thinking subcommittee:

The Critical Thinking subcommittee presented a power point describing their recent efforts. Dr. Cooper indicated that a subcommittee had been formed to discuss possible revisions of the SSI questions, so it is a great opportunity to add critical thinking questions.

General Education Subcommittee:

The General Education subcommittee reported that the general education goals had been taken out of the Mission Statement. Nicole stated that a task force was formed to make the mission and goals more generic and looser so they can be easily aligned with institutional objectives.

New Gen Ed Outcomes (Action Item I):

Although there is not much to report presently, it is probable that some new things being done in courses that will address this item.

New Gen Ed Outcomes (Action Item II):

By taking the goals out of the mission, the group was able to revise the goals as needed. Jesse presented the Deans' proposed revisions, and noted that the state recommended outcomes are very general, thus making them easy to apply to any program in the college.

New Gen Ed Assessment (Action Item III):

The group discussed proposing to Cabinet that we utilize BOT rule 6Hx23-4.45 (6/20/00 Revision) that requires that all students who apply for graduation be available to take an assessment (e.g., MAPP or other general education areas).